UNITE: STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 If Und diverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business
Penalty For Private Use, \$300.

*RTSX - INSUFFICE

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

F0251A 0004205065 JAN 31 2006 MAILED FROM ZIPCODE 22314

haldaladaadhadhaadhaladaadhadhaadh

い会もなくのとのわめ



United States Patent and Trademark Office

FEB 2 7 2006

Arw

TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/733,737	12/08/2000	Cynthia Ann Adiano	RAL920000041US1	4788	
7590 01/31/2006			EXAMINER		
James Boice Dillon & Yudell		WRIGHT, NORMAN M			
N. Capital Of Tex	kas Highway	4 ₀	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite	O(n k)	HE IN THE	2134		
Austin, TX 78759		THE CELL D	DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2000	
FEB & Tumb	A
Advisory Action	0
the Filing of an Appeal Brief	E
	N
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/733,737	ADIANO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Norman M. Wright	2134

	Norman M. Wright	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R.ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latemay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	• •	a catalana and a same per tana	M
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	tne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jeotea olaima.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		*	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a			ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>47, 60-63</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>47, 00-03</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>46-52 and 58-75</u> .		,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>53-57</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🛮 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered been See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (P10/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13.	7	Norman M Wright	14 de
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2134	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Part of Paper No. 20060121

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art is believed to read on the claims. A email not being stored and thereby preventing installation. This is not clearly understood, especially since the program which is to be installed is part of a program. The email has to be saved in order for the file contained within it to be opened/installed. Any server that delivers an email is a pre-specified since a relationship must exsists between a server and a client ('564 col. 19 et seq.). The do not forward options being mandatory, is not an element of the claims. The serial number being encrypted had an official notice attached to it in the first office action, which was not challenged. Accordingly, it was extended to the corresponding claims in the newly amended claims that recite the same feature as previously rejected.